

ACVN Case Report Grading Rubric

Last updated:2/14/2018

1/ 2

Criterion	Inadequate	Deficient Response	Good Response	Excellent Response
Points Awarded	0	1	2	3
<p>Identification of main nutritional issues/problems and Nutritional Assessment</p>	<p>Demonstrates a lack of understanding of the main issues and fails to identify obvious nutritional issues.</p> <p>Presents substandard nutritional assessment that likely puts patient at risk.</p>	<p>Demonstrates superficial understanding of the main issues described or fails to identify some major nutritional issues.</p> <p>Provides a deficient nutritional assessment which could put patient at risk.</p>	<p>Identifies and demonstrates solid understanding of most of the nutritional issues described.</p> <p>Provides adequate nutritional assessment.</p>	<p>Identifies and demonstrates excellent understanding of all nutritional issues described.</p> <p>Provides an excellent nutritional assessment.</p>
<p>Critical Thinking and Decision Making Effective Nutritional Planning</p>	<p>Provides superficial and flawed arguments that are not justified or propose inappropriate solutions.</p> <p>Makes inappropriate interpretations with little or no understanding of nutritional principles and fails to recognize the limitations of recommendations made.</p>	<p>Provides superficial arguments, that are poorly justified or propose marginally acceptable solutions.</p> <p>Demonstrates flawed interpretation or limited understanding of nutritional principles or fails to recognize limitations of the recommendations made.</p>	<p>Provides a sufficient argument to justify nutritional plan that addresses most of the issues identified.</p> <p>Demonstrates some documented evidence to support the plan. May provide a somewhat unbalanced view of issues or fails to consider some of the limitations of the plan.</p>	<p>Provides logical and convincing arguments to justify the nutritional plan that addresses all of the major issues.</p> <p>Provides well documented evidence and presents a balanced and critical view while acknowledging limitations or shortcomings of the plan.</p>
<p>Clinical Reasoning and integration of medical and other factors that impact nutritional management.</p>	<p>Demonstrates poor reasoning with no consideration of pertinent factors that impact the nutritional plan (with major omissions) with no mention of follow up or any adjustments to the plan.</p>	<p>Demonstrates deficient reasoning that fails to consider some pertinent factors that impact the nutritional plan (with major omissions) but mentions some follow-up and adjustments to the plan based on clinical response.</p>	<p>Demonstrates good reasoning that considers other pertinent factors that impact the nutritional plan (with some omissions) and is able to demonstrate appropriate follow-up and make adjustments (with some errors) to the plan based on clinical response.</p>	<p>Demonstrates excellent reasoning that considers other pertinent factors that impact the nutritional plan and is able to demonstrate appropriate follow-up and make sound adjustments to the plan based on clinical response.</p>

ACVN Case Report Grading Rubric

Last updated:2/14/2018

2/ 2

Criterion	Inadequate	Deficient Response	Good Response	Excellent Response
Points Awarded	0	1	2	3
<p>Depth of Knowledge and Understanding</p>	<p>Makes inappropriate connections between issues identified and evidence from literature.</p> <p>Demonstrates poor knowledge and understanding of the issues and no attempt to integrate knowledge from published literature.</p>	<p>Makes little connection between issues identified and evidence from the literature.</p> <p>Demonstrates incomplete research and little appreciation of published literature.</p>	<p>Makes appropriate although somewhat vague connection between most of the issues identified and the evidence from the literature.</p> <p>Demonstrates a solid appreciation of the literature that relates to case.</p>	<p>Makes appropriate and powerful connection between identified issues and the evidence from the literature.</p> <p>Demonstrates excellent appreciation of the literature that relates to case.</p>
<p>Clarity of Expression and Effectiveness of communication</p>	<p>Writing is unfocused, confusing, disorganized and fails to communicate effectively.</p> <p>Contains serious and frequent errors in grammar and spelling.</p> <p>Fails to follow formatting instructions.</p>	<p>Consistent grammatical and spelling errors, suffers from confusing organization but can be understood.</p> <p>Frequent errors in formatting.</p>	<p>Occasional grammar or spelling errors but still a clear presentation of ideas. Organizational flow is adequate.</p> <p>Follows formatting instructions.</p>	<p>Excellent demonstration of clarity, conciseness and logical writing.</p> <p>Writing style of high quality and free of grammar and spelling errors. Follows formatting instructions.</p>
Total Column score	0	5	10	15